

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/778,030	02/17/2004	Hiroshi Hamasaki	249025US2SRD	6187
22850	7590 04/11/2006		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			VIGUSHIN, JOHN B	
	RIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2841	
			DATE MAILED: 04/11/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Commons	10/778,030	HAMASAKI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John B. Vigushin	2841	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet t	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statt Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a  d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC  tte, cause the application to become a	ICATION.  reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communi	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17	February 2004.		
	is action is non-final.		•
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		tters, prosecution as to the meri	its is
closed in accordance with the practice under			
Disposition of Claims		r	7
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the applicatio	.n		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr		•	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	awn nom consideration.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		•	
<u> </u>		•	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		. •	
8) Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers		•	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ ac	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		* *	21(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		-	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	3 ( ) ( ( . ) .	•
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received		
2. Certified copies of the priority documer		Application No.	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri			
application from the International Burea		received in this National Stage	•
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	,	racciuad	
oee the attached detailed Office action for a lis	a or the certified copies no	received.	
•			
Attachment(s)			•
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	, . <del>.</del> –	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
S. Patent and Trademark Office	6)	<del>`</del>	
	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date	0406

Application/Control Number: 10/778,030 Page 2

Art Unit: 2841

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-12, drawn to LSI package arranged on a mounting board, classified in class 257, subclass 707.
  - II. Claims 13-14, drawn to a method of assembling a LSI package on a mounting board, classified in class 438, subclass 122.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process wherein the steps of (i) inserting a heat conductive material into a clearance between a heat sink and heat dissipating surface of the LSI and (ii) pushing the heat conductive material layer to have an appropriate thickness are omitted.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

4. A telephone call was made to Applicant's Attorney, Joseph Scafetta, Jr., on April 04, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 2841

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John B. Vigushin whose telephone number is 571-272-1936. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM-5:00PM Mo-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kamand Cuneo can be reached on 571-272-1957. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John B. Vigushin Primary Examiner Art Unit 2841 Page 4

jbv April 04, 2006